

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/016,015	10/26/2001	Patrick R. Clark	01-330	4131
719 7590 04/09/2008 Caterpillar Inc.		EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Dept.			AKINTOLA, OLABODE	
AB 6490 100 N.E. Adams Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PEORIA, IL 61629-6490			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/016.015 CLARK ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit OLABODE AKINTOLA 3691 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _ 6) Other: Office Action Summary Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20080228 Application/Control Number: 10/016,015

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(e) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10-16, 18, 20-27, 29, 31-37, 39 and 41-42 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sinclair (USPN 6208979).

Re claims 1-6, 8, 10-16, 18, 20-27, 29, 31-37, 39 and 41-42: Sinclair teaches a computer based system and method for automatically creating a list of financing documents for a transaction, the method including the steps of: inserting transaction information by a user (col. 6, lines 60-65); requesting a decision criteria document (col. 7, lines 31-42, col. 10, lines 30-37); requesting initial information in response to the request for a decision criteria document (col. 7, lines 34-36); determining the initial information from the transaction information (col. 7, lines 44-57); preparing the decision criteria document, the decision criteria document having a plurality of data fields as a function of the initial information (col. 7, lines 31-57, col. 10, lines 30-37); receiving completed data fields (col. 7, lines 30-33); and, automatically selecting a required set

Application/Control Number: 10/016,015

Art Unit: 3691

of documents based on the completed data fields and preparing the list of financing documents (col. 9, lines 5-9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9, 19, 30 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinclair.

Re claims 9: Sinclair does not explicitly teach wherein each document in the list of financing documents includes a document name, a number of copies, and a simplex/duplex code. However, Sinclair teaches generating necessary documents (col. 9, lines 5-6). Official notice is hereby taken that it is old and well known to have in a list of necessary document, the document name, number of copies and codes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/016,015

Art Unit: 3691

time of the invention to modify Sinclair to include the document name, number of copies and codes as part of the requirement of tracking purposes.

Claims 7, 17, 28 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinclair in view of Fletcher et al (USPN 6112190).

Re claims 7, 17, 28 and 38: Sinclair does not explicitly teach the step of allowing a user to override the required set of documents. Fletcher teaches the step of allowing a user to override the required set of documents (col. 11, lines 34-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sinclair to include this step as taught by Fletcher. One would have been motivated to do so in order to allow the user to make changes as may be deemed necessary by overriding the document.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLABODE AKINTOLA whose telephone number is (571)272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,015 Page 5

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OA

/Hani M. Kazimi/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691